

Tribe

A **tribe** is viewed developmentally, economically, and/or historically, as a social group existing outside of or before the development of states. A tribe is a group of distinct people, dependent on their land for their livelihood, who are largely self-sufficient, and not integrated into the national society. It is perhaps the term most readily understood and used by the general public to describe such communities. Stephen Corry defines tribal people as those who "have followed ways of life for many generations that are largely self-sufficient, and are clearly different from the mainstream and dominant society".^[1] This definition, however, would not apply to countries in the Middle East such as Iraq and Yemen, South Asia such as Afghanistan and many African countries such as South Sudan, where the entire population is a member of one tribe or another and tribalism itself is dominant and mainstream.

There are an estimated one hundred and fifty million tribal individuals worldwide,^[2] constituting around forty percent of indigenous individuals. Although nearly all tribal people are indigenous, some are not indigenous to the areas where they now live.

The distinction between tribal and indigenous is important because tribal peoples have a special status acknowledged in international law. They often face particular issues in addition to those faced by the wider category of indigenous peoples.

Many people used the term "tribal society" to refer to societies organized largely on the basis of social, especially familial, descent groups (see clan and kinship). A customary tribe in these terms is a face-to-face community, relatively bound by kinship relations, reciprocal exchange, and strong ties to place.^[3]

"Tribe" is a contested term due to its roots of being defined by outsiders during the period of colonialism. The word has no shared referent, whether in political form, kinship relations or shared culture. Some ~~g~~ue that it conveys a negative connotation of a timeless unchanging past.^{[4][5][6]} To avoid these implications, some have chosen to use the term ethnic group, or nation instead.^{[4][5][6]}

In some places, such as India and North America, tribes are polities that have been granted legal recognition and limited autonomy by the national government.

Contents

Etymology

Tribes and Nations

See also

Notes

References

External links

Etymology

The word tribe first occurs in English in 12th-century Middle English-literature, in reference to the twelve tribes of Israel. The Middle English word is derived from Old French *tribu* and, in turn, from Latin *tribus* (plural *tribūs*), in reference to a supposed tripartite division of the original Roman state along ethnic lines, into *tribūs* known as the *Ramnes* (or *Ramnenses*), *Tities* (or *Titienses*), and *Luceres*, corresponding, according to Marcus Terentius Varro, to the Latins, Sabines and Etruscans respectively. The *Ramnes* were named after Romulus, leader of the Latins, *Tities* after Titus Tatius, leader of the Sabines, and *Luceres* after Lucumo, leader of an Etruscan army that had assisted the Latins. In 242–240 BC, the Tribal Assembly (*comitia tributa*) in the Roman Republic included 35 tribes (four "urban tribes" and 31 "rural tribes"). According to Livy, the three "tribes" were squadrons of cavalry, rather than ethnic divisions.

The term's ultimate etymology is uncertain, perhaps from the Proto-Indo-European roots *tri-* ("three") and *bhew* ("to be"). The classicist Gregory Nagy says,^[7] citing the linguist Émile Benveniste,^[8] that the Umbrian *trifu* (equivalent of the Latin *tribus*) is apparently derived from a combination of **tri-* and **bhu-*, where the second element is cognate with the Greek root *phúō* φύω "to bring forth" and the Greek *phulē* φυλή "clan, race, people" (plural *phylai* φυλαί). The Greek *polis* ("state" or "city") was, like the Roman state, divided into *threphylai*.

In Europe during the late medieval era, the Bible was written mostly in New Latin and instead of *tribus* the word *phyle* was used, derived from the Greek *phulē*. In the historical sense, "tribe", "race" and "clan" have often been used interchangeably

Tribes and Nations

Considerable debate has accompanied efforts to define and characterize tribes. Scholars perceive differences between pre-state tribes and contemporary tribes; there is also general controversy over cultural evolution and colonialism. In the popular imagination, tribes reflect a way of life that predates, and is more natural than that in modern states. Tribes also privilege primordial social ties and are clearly bounded, homogeneous, parochial, and stable. Tribes are an organization among families (including clans and lineages), which generates a social and ideological basis for solidarity that is in some way more limited than that of an "ethnic group" or of a "nation". Anthropological and ethnohistorical research has challenged all of these notions.

Anthropologist Elman Service presented a system of classification for societies in all human cultures, based on the evolution of social inequality and the role of the state. This system of classification contains four categories:

1. Hunter-gatherer bands that are generally egalitarian
2. Tribal societies with some limited instances of social rank and prestige
3. Stratified tribal societies led by chieftains (see Chieftdom)
4. Civilizations, with complex social hierarchies and organized, institutional governments

In his 1975 study, *The Notion of the Tribe*, anthropologist Morton H. Fried provided numerous examples of tribes that encompassed members who spoke different languages and practiced different rituals, or who shared languages and rituals with members of other tribes. Similarly, he provided examples of tribes in which people followed different political leaders, or followed the same leaders as members of other tribes. He concluded that tribes in general are characterized by fluid boundaries and heterogeneity, are not parochial, and are dynamic.^[9]

Fried proposed that most contemporary tribes do not have their origin in pre-state tribes, but rather in pre-state bands. Such "secondary" tribes, he suggested, developed as modern products of state expansion. Bands comprise small, mobile, and fluid social formations with weak leadership. They do not generate surpluses, pay no taxes, and support no standing army. Fried argued that secondary tribes develop in one of two ways. First, states could set them up as means to extend administrative and economic influence in their hinterland, where direct political control costs too much. States would encourage (or require) people on their frontiers to form more clearly bounded and centralized polities, because such polities could begin producing surpluses and taxes, and would have a leadership responsive to the needs of neighboring states (the so-called "scheduled" tribes of the United States or of British India provide good examples of this). Second, bands could form "secondary" tribes as a means to



A shaman from a tribe in the Venezuelan Amazon playing asiku



Two men from the Andamanese tribe of the Andaman Islands, India



Initiation rituals among boys from a tribe of the Yao people in Malawi

defend against state expansion. Members of bands would form more clearly bounded and centralized polities, because such polities could begin producing surpluses that could support a standing army that could fight against states, and they would have a leadership that could co-ordinate economic production and military activities.

Archaeologists continue to explore the development of pre-state tribes. Current research suggests that tribal structures constituted one type of adaptation to situations providing plentiful yet unpredictable resources. Such structures proved flexible enough to coordinate production and distribution of food in times of scarcity without limiting or constraining people during times of surplus.

See also

- Clan
- Matrilineality
- Neocolonialism
- Nomad
- Pantribal sodalities
- Patrilineality
- Segmentary Society
- Social group
- Stateless society
- Band society
- Tribal chief
- Tribal name
- Tribal sovereignty
- Tribal warfare
- Tribalism
- Tribe (Internet)
- Micronation
- New Tribal Revolution

Notes

1. Stephen Corry (2011). *Tribal peoples for tomorrow's world*(<https://www.amazon.co.uk/Tribal-peoples-tomorrows-world-Stephen/dp/1447424131>) Survival International. ISBN 978-1447424130
2. International, Survival. "Terminology" (<http://www.survivalinternational.org/info/terminology>).
3. James, Paul (2006). *Globalism, Nationalism, Tribalism: Bringing Theory Back In* (https://www.academia.edu/1642214/Globalism_Nationalism_Tribalism_Bringing_Theory_Back_In_author_Sage_Publications_London_2006) London: Sage Publications.
4. "What is in the word tribe?"(<http://www.pambazuka.org/en/category/features/45595>). Pambazuka. January 22, 2008 Retrieved October 4, 2012.
5. "IC Publications | Opinions"(<http://www.africasia.com/services/opinions/opinions.php?ID=1701&title=ray>) Africasia. Retrieved October 4, 2012.
6. "Talking about "Tribe"" (<http://www.africaaction.org/talking-about-tribe.html>). Africa Action Retrieved 2012-10-04.
7. Gregory Nagy, *Greek Mythology and Poetics*
8. Émile Benveniste, *Origines de la formation des noms en indo-européen*
9. Morton H. Fried (1972) *The Notion of Tribe*. Cummings Publishing Company

References

- Benveniste, Émile *Indo-European Language and Society* translated by Elizabeth Palmer London: Faber and Faber, 1973. ISBN 0-87024-250-4
- Benveniste, Émile *Origines de la formation des noms en indo-européen* 1935.
- Fried, Morton H. *The Notion of Tribe*. Cummings Publishing Company 1975. ISBN 0-8465-1548-2

- Helm, June, ed., 1968.*Essays on the Problem of Tribe*, Proceedings, American Ethnological Society 1967 (Seattle: University of Washington Press).
- James, Paul (2006). *Globalism, Nationalism, Tribalism: Bringing Theory Back In*. London: Sage Publications.
- James, Paul (2001). "Relating Global Tensions: Modern Tribalism and Postmodern Nationalism." *Communal/Plural* 9 (1).
- Nagy, Gregory, *Greek Mythology and Poetics*, Cornell University Press, 1990. In chapter 12, beginning on p. 276, Professor Nagy explores the meaning of the word origin and social context of a tribe in ancient Greece and beyond.
- Sutton, Imre, *Indian Land Tenure: Bibliographical Essays and a Guide to the Literature* (NY: Clearwater, 1975): tribe —pp. 101–02, 180–2, 186–7, 191–3.
- Renfrew, Colin, and Paul G. Bahn. *Archaeology: Theories, Methods and Practice* New York: Thames and Hudson, 2008.

External links

- [Survival International](#)
 - [Korotayev, "Chieftdom: Precursor of the Tribe?"](#)
 - [Moroccan Tribes](#)
-

Retrieved from '<https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Tribe&oldid=834297050>'

This page was last edited on 4 April 2018, at 23:13.

Text is available under the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License](#); additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the [Terms of Use](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the [Wikimedia Foundation, Inc.](#), a non-profit organization.